

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/428,508	10/27/1999	GARRY CALLINAN	1423-9	4751
7:	590 02/26/2003			
LAFF WHITESEL CONTE & SARET LTD 401 NORTH MICHIGAN AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60611			EXAMINER	
			LAGMAN, FREDERICK LYNDON	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3673	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\subset	V
	75

	-	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/428,508	CALLINAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Frederick L. Lagman	3673			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	Beene poive to communication(s) filed on 25 M	Joyambar 2002				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{25 N}$ This action is FINAL . 2b) \boxtimes Th	is action is non-final.				
2a)☐	,		racocution as to the marits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-17,19-42,44-48 and 51-55</u> is/are pe	ending in the application.				
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•				
·	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/428,508

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The recitation of "vertical and said horizontal axis being taken with respect to gravit and independently of any slope of the earth's surface" is not mentioned in the specification, therefore it is considered new matter. Furthermore, the invention itself is <u>dependent</u> on the slope of the earth's surface as clearly shown in the drawings.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1 and 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. As to claim 1, the recitation of "central axis of each tyre in an outer face" is indefinite, since the axis of the tires cannot be on the outer face, the outer face being the treads of the tires.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/428,508

Art Unit: 3673

6. As to claim 3, the recitation of "optionally by a distance" is considered indefinite. Is the distance being claimed or not? It appears that "optionally" should be deleted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Insofar as understood, claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reilly #5,024,560. Reilly, see figure 3, discloses tires arranged to retain an embankment, wherein the tires are arranged in a plurality of courses and have an inclined vertical and horizontal axis. Furthermore, it appears that such tires have a batter angle in the range of 10-20 degrees.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Insofar as understood, claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pulsifer '793 alone. Pulsifer discloses a retaining wall comprising a plurality of tires arranged in a plurality of courses 34, 36, 38, 40, 42, and

Application/Control Number: 09/428,508

Art Unit: 3673

44. The tires may be filled with granular material such as soil or sand. As to the retaining wall being inclined to the vertical and horizontal, any variant i.e. a degree or even a fraction of a degree in the slope of the ground would result in a retaining wall being inclined to both the vertical and horizontal. Therefore, it appears that unless the ground to which the tires are arranged is perfectly level, then the resulting wall would be inclined to both the vertical and horizontal.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 11-17, 19-42, 44-48, and 51-55 are allowed.
- 12. Claims 7-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick L. Lagman whose telephone number is 703-305-7456. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am to 5:30pm.

Art Unit: 3673

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Schackelford can be reached on 703-308-2978. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1134.

Frederick L. Lagman

Examiner Art Unit 3673

FLL February 24, 2003